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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a version of Janet division algorithm and its implementation in C++ oriented to computing degree-reverse-lexicographical Gröbner bases for polynomial ideals in the ring of multivariate polynomials over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. We compare efficiency of the algorithm and its implementation with those for Buchberger's algorithm and with the Gröbner bases software built-in computer algebra systems Singular, CoCoA and with the library FGb available for Maple. As benchmarks for our comparison we use conversion to $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ of the some benchmarks widely used for the Gröbner bases software over $Q$. Polynomial systems over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ are of interest in particular for simulation of quantum computation and in cryptoanalysis.


## 1 Implemented Algorithms

1. Buchberger's algorithm. For preliminary testing of data structures and for subsequent experimental comparison with our implementation of the involutive algorithm [1, 2] we implemented first Buchberger's algorithm [3]. Unlike the former, the latter algorithm examines all $S$-polynomials and by this reason its computational efficiency heavily depends on the use of criteria to avoid unnecessary $S$-polynomial reductions.
2. Involutive algorithm. This algorithm, designed by Gerdt and Blinkov [1], who exploited constructive ideas of completion to involution of differential systems, was implemented in its improved version [2] and for degree-reverse-lexicographic order. This particular being heuristically optimal for computation of Gröbner bases over $Q$ is also best for homogeneous generating sets. To analyze solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ for polynomial systems over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ that is important, in particular, for application to quantum computation [4] and cryptoanalysis [5] the pure lexicographical order is more appropriate. Development of the package with inclusion of the lexicographic order is planned as the next step.

## 2 Data structures

Both of the above implementation are to be special modules of the open source software Ginv whose current version 1.2 is available on the Web page http://invo.jinr.ru. By this reason most of the data structures were taken over from Ginv. Functionality of some other structures, for instance, Janet trees, was extended in such a way that interface was preserved. However, two most important data structures - monomial and polynomial were significantly refined under specifical features of $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. In particular, the main data fields of the monomial class are now the monomial degree (integer number) and the set of exponents for variables (bit array of length 64 or 128 bits).

## 3 Algorithmic peculiarities of implementation

Since all operations over monomials and polynomials are performed over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, any variable can have degree either 0 or 1 . As a result any monomial order $\succ$ is not admissible. It is easy to see. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}$ be two different monomials satisfying $m_{1} \succ m_{2}$, and let $m_{3}$ be the third monomial such that $m_{3}=\operatorname{lcm}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$. Then we obtain $m_{1} \cdot m_{3}=m_{2} \cdot m_{3}$ that contradicts the definition of admissible monomial order. This fact can be explicitly verified:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1}:=x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}}, m_{2}:=x_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{j_{n}}, m_{1} \succ m_{2} \\
& m_{3}:=\operatorname{lcm}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{\max \left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)} \cdots x_{n}^{\max \left(i_{n}, j_{n}\right)} \\
& m_{1} \cdot m_{3}=x_{1}^{\max \left(i_{1}, \max \left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)\right)} \cdots x_{n}^{\max \left(i_{n}, \max \left(i_{n}, j_{n}\right)\right)}=m_{3} \\
& m_{2} \cdot m_{3}=x_{1}^{\max \left(j_{1}, \max \left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)\right)} \cdots x_{n}^{\max \left(j_{n}, \max \left(i_{n}, j_{n}\right)\right)}=m_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

By this reason one has to take care of applicability of the Involutive algorithm.
And one more unusual feature: a basis consisting of a single polynomial may not be a Gröbner basis. We give a simple example.

$$
\langle x y+x+1\rangle=\langle x+1, y\rangle
$$

If one multiplies polynomial $x y+x+1$ by all polynomials in the bivariate ring - there are 15 of them - it becomes obvious that the Gröbner basis consists of two polynomials: $x+1$ and $y$.

## 4 Role of criteria

We implemented four involutive criteria [2] for detection of some zero-redundant prolongations as well as equivalent to them two Buchberger's criteria [3] for the case of Buchberger's algorithm. In so doing we adopted the involutive criteria to computation over field $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. We observe experimentally rather high efficiency of applying the criteria in Buchberger's algorithm. In most cases it achieves $96-100 \%$, i.e. the criteria do not apply for at most 4 from every 100 zero-redundant $S$-polynomials. As to the Involutive algorithm, the efficiency of criteria is somewhat lower. As a rule it is about $60-85 \%$. With all this going on, and for the benchmarks we used, most often the first criterion (see [2]) was applied.

## 5 Comparison with other Gröbner bases software

We did comparison of running time for our two implementations with some other computer algebra systems and packages implementing computation of Gröbner bases over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, namely, with CoCoA 4.6 [6], Singular 3.0.2 [7] and FGb 1.34 library [8] for Maple. The timings for the standard serial benchmarks eco, katsura, redcyclic and redeco (see [9]) are shown in Figures 1 - 4, respectively.

These timings were obtained on a 2 xOpteron-242 (1.6 Ghz) machine with 6Gb RAM running under Gentoo Linux 2005.1 and with gcc-4.1.0 compiler.

More detailed comparison together with description of the algorithms implemented is given in [10].


Figure 1: Timings for the eco benchmarks


Figure 2: Timings for the katsura benchmarks


Figure 3: Timings for the redcyclic benchmarks


Figure 4: Timings for the redeco benchmarks
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