

ML Methods for PID in HIC Experiments

Alexander Ayriyan

JINR School of Information Technologies

16 – 20 October 2023

Meshcheryakov Laboratory of Information Technologies, Dubna

Identification Problem of Charged Particles

- In Machine Learning terms PID can be considered as **classification** task (**Supervised learning**).
- Let
- **X** - is the input space (particle characteristics such as: **dE/dx**, **m2**, **q**, **P,** etc)
- **Y** - is the output space (particle species such as: **π**, **k**, **p**, etc.)
- Unknown mapping exists
  - $\mathbf{m : X \rightarrow Y}$,
- for values which known only on objects from the finite training set
  - $\mathbf{X^n = (x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)}$,
- Goal is to find an algorithm a that classifies an arbitrary new object $x \in X$
  - $\mathbf{a : X \rightarrow Y}$.



NICA MPD

V. Papoyan, A. Ayriyan, A. Aparin, H. Grigorian, A. Korobitsin, A. Mudrokh

MPD APPARATUS AND PID





MPD particle identification (PID) based on **Time-Projection Chamber** (TPC) and **Time-of-Flight** (TOF).

Particle Identification in MPD experiment

Particle identification can be achieved by using information about **momentum**, **charge**, **energy loss** (TPC) and **mass squared** (TPC + TOF).





Decision Trees for PID

Gradient Boosted Decision Tree (GBDT) uses decision trees as weak learner. They can be considered as automated multilevel **cut-based** analysis.





Gradient Boosting

Gradient boosting is a machine learning technique which combines **weak learners** into a single strong learner in an iterative fashion.





When **weak learnrs are decision tree**, the resulting algorithm is called **gradient-boosted decision trees**.

BASELINE PID IN MPD - N-SIGMA





PID efficiency and contamination for all tracks (left) and only identified tracks (right) in Bi+Bi collisions at 9.2 GeV

$$E^s = \frac{N^s{}_{corr}}{N^s{}_{true}} \quad C^s = \frac{N^s{}_{incorr}}{N^s{}_{corr} + N^s{}_{incorr}}$$

XGBoost vs LightGBM vs CatBoost vs SketchBoost

Asymmetric Tree (XGB, LGBM)



Symmetric Tree (CatBoost, SketchBoost)



Level-wise Tree Growth (XGB)



Leaf-wise Tree Growth (LGBM)



DATASET

Subsamples of the two MPD Monte-Carlo productions have been used

	prod05	prod06
Event generator	UrQMD	PHQMD
Transport	Geant 4	Geant 4
Impact parameter ranges	0-16 fm (mb)	0-12 fm
Smear Vertex XY	0.1 cm	0.1 cm
Smear Vertex Z	50 cm	50 cm
Colliding system	Bi+Bi	Bi+Bi
Energy	9.2 GeV	9.2 GeV



track selection criteria: (p < 100) & (|m^2| < 100) & (nHits > 15) & (|eta|<1.5) & (dca < 5) & (|Vz| < 100)

TWO STAGES OF THE EXPERIMENTS

Some parameters for the tuning and model evaluation stages

Stage	Learning Rate	Max Number of Iterations	Early Stopping
Tuning	0.05	5 000	200
Model Evaluation	0.015	20 000	500

Results for hyperparameter tuning (after **30 iterations** of the TPE algorithm for each GBDT)

Framework	Max. Depth	L2 leaf reg.	Min. data in leaf size	Rows sampling rate
XGBoost	8	2.3	0.00234	0.942
LightGBM	12	0.1	4	0.981
CatBoost	8	3.0	5	0.99
SketchBoost	8	3.0	5	0.99

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE ALGORITHMS

	XGBoost	LightGBM	CatBoost	SketchBoost
Total Efficiency	0.99327	0.99235	0.99138	0.99239





COMPARISON WITH N-SIGMA





Efficiency ratio of XGBoost and n-sigma method

COMPARISON WITH N-SIGMA



Efficiency ratio of XGBoost and n-sigma method

XGBoost Model Interpretation. Feature Importance





Final Efficiency of XGBoost





BM@N

Baryonic Matter at Nuclotron

V. Papoyan, A. Ayriyan, K. Gertsenberger, H. Grigorian, S. Metrs

BMN Detector



- Magnet SP-41 (0)
- Triggers: BD + SiD (1)
- Forward Silicon (2)
- GEM (3)
- ECAL (4)
- CSC 1x1 m^2 (5)
- TOF 400 (6)
- CSC 2x1.5 m^2 (7)
- TOF 700 (8)
- ZDC (9)

BMN Detector



- Magnet SP-41 (0)
- Triggers: BD + SiD (1)
- Forward Silicon (2)
- GEM (3)
- ECAL (4)
- CSC 1x1 m^2 (5)
- TOF 400 (6)
- CSC 2x1.5 m^2 (7)
- TOF 700 (8)
- ZDC (9)

PID IN BMN



PID IN BMN



PID IN BMN



PID IN BMN



$$\beta = \frac{p}{\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}}$$

$$m^2 = \frac{p^2}{\beta^2} - p^2$$

Название частицы	Символ: частицы	Символ: анти-частицы	Заряд, ед. e	Масса покоя, ед. m_e	Масса, МэВ
Пионы	π^0		0	264,1	135
	π^+	π^-	1	273,1	140
Каоны	K^0	$\tilde{K}^0$	0	974,0	498
	K^+	K^-	1	966,2	494
Протон	p	$\tilde{p}$	1	1836,2	938
Нейтрон	n	$\tilde{n}$	0	1838,7	940

DATASET

- Number of trakcs: around 5M
  (60% protons, 40% pions, less than 1% of koans)
- Number of traks with at least one ToF: approx. 1.4M (27%)





RESULTS

- Number of trakcs: around 5M
  (60% protons, 40% pions, less than 1% of koans)
- Number of traks with at least one ToF: approx. 1.4M (27%)

XGBoost shows identification efficiency more than 80%!





HOW?!

RESULTS

- Number of trakcs: around 5M
  (60% protons, 40% pions, less than 1% of koans)
- Number of traks with at least one ToF: approx. 1.4M (27%)

XGBoost shows identification efficiency more than 80%!

HOW?!

Random efficincy: 80% minus 27% is approx 53%

Results

- Number of trakcs: around 5M
  (60% protons, 40% pions, less than 1% of koans)
- Number of traks with at least one ToF: approx. 1.4M (27%)

XGBoost shows 98.3% efficiency for traks with ToF!





Спасибо за внимание!

Тема: Исследование и разработка методов и подходов применения методов машинного обучения в задачах теоретической физики (ТФ) и физики высоких энергий (ФВЭ)

Руководители: к.ф.-м.н. Айриян А.С., к.ф.-м.н. Григорян О.А.

Аннотация

В исследованиях в рамках ТФ и ФВЭ возникают проблемы, которые плохо формализуемые, либо их формальная математическая постановка требует привлечения сложного (а возможно еще не существующего) математического аппарата для их решения. В таких случаях может быть полезно применение методов машинного обучения. Планируется исследовать возможность использования машинного обучения при решении прямых и обратных задач для нелинейных уравнений, описывающих исследуемые физические процессы. Такой подход должен быть общим, т.е. независимым от физической сути решаемой проблемы, и эффективным, чтобы получить реальное применение на практике. Основной проблемой в данном направлении исследований является постановка задачи с точки зрения машинного обучения, а также формирование выборки, обучение на которой позволит решать поставленную задачу.

Что приобретет студент: практику решения актуальных научных задач, повышение квалификации в области машинного обучения, дипломную работу, обладающую научной новизной и актуальностью.

Возможные темы дипломных работ

1. Решение обратной задачи Толмана-Оппегеймера-Волкова с применением глубоких нейронных сетей;
2. Нейросетевой подход к прямому и обратному вейвлет-преобразованию;
3. Деревья решений для распознавания элементарных частиц по данным детекторов физики высоких энергий.

Общие требования к студентам

- Знание математических основ дифференциальных уравнений.
- Знание основ машинного обучения.
- Элементарное владение Python, желательно элементарное владение библиотеками NumPy, TensorFlow, Keras, Pandas, Pytorch.

Comparative analysis of the algorithms. Timing



GPU: Nvidia Tesla V100-SXM2 NVLink 32GB HBM2

CPU: Intel Xeon Gold 6148 CPU @ 2.40 GHz 20 Cores / 40 Threads