Application of SD Best Practices for the MPD Experiment

HNATIC Slavomir MPD Software Development Team



OUTLINE

- Initial Status: Summer 2021 (Analysis)
- SD Best Practices
- Software vs R&D
- Software Project Dynamics
- Scaling and Complexity
- Unified Development Environment, Build & Software distribution system
- Design by Contract
- Future MPD Data Lab
- Acceptance TDD
- Rapid Development
- MPD Software & Computing Ecosystem The Big Picture

INITIAL STATUS (as of summer 2021)

Some of the most important findings:

- Total lack of staff
- No code influx control (reviews)
- Lack of tests
- Dead/untested code hanging all around the place, its maintenance taking away from little worktime (man-hours) we have
- No OO code
- Codebase: one giant tightly coupled "global state/god class antipatterns" blob
- Cumbersome error-prone installation procedure
- Outdated website
- Lack of support & proper interaction with users, almost no user feedback

SD BEST PRACTICES

"...the profession in which a knowledge of the mathematical and natural sciences gained by study, experience, and practice is applied with judgment to develop ways to utilize, economically, the materials

and forces of nature for the benefit of mankind."

-- Accreditation Board of Engineering & Technology (www.abet.org)



SEPARATION OF CONCERNS

- thinking of software entity attributes in isolation, while keeping in mind, they're part of the whole
- E.Dijkstra "On the role of scientific thought" (1974)

CORE INFLUENCES

- size / scaling
- structural complexity
- software defects
- uncertainty
- human variation
- synergy

SWEBOK v3 (2015, computer.org) International ISO Standard specifying the guide to Software Engineering Body of Knowledge

R&D vs SOFTWARE

R & D

CONCEPT VALIDITY EXPLORATION

- Key goal: Innovation
- Successful end justifies all means
- Many of tested hypotheses invalid
- Proper practices completely out of focus to save time
- Prototypes of valid concepts must be adapted to SE standards

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

- R&D valid concepts integrated into whole
- Not in conflict with existing development
- User/developer friendliness
- Extensible
- Maintainable
- Not requiring unmanageable (geeky) support
- Compact, modular
- Follows SE principles & best practices

CODE INFLUX CONTROL - CODEOWNERS

OD	EOWNERS C 1.81 KB								
1	# Allowed line format:								
2	# 0. Empty and commented lines								
З	<pre># 1. <path ending="" with=""></path> @owner_1 @owner_2 @owner_N</pre>								
4	# Example: /scripts/@roleg@hnat	des							
5	# Ending a path in a / will spe	city the code owners for every file nested in that directory							
7	# Default (project) owner								
8	#								
9	1	@roleg							
	# Suctam								
3	#								
4	/scripts/	@hnatics							
.5									
.6	N. D. Landaura								
12	# Detectors								
19	# TPC								
20	/detectors/tpc/	@zinchenk @abychkov							
21									
22	# FFD								
23	/detectors/ttd/	@niasnmanov							
25	# EMC								
26	/detectors/emc/	@boiana2012							
28	# MCORD								
	/detectors/mcord/	@Wielanek							
	# STS (TTS)								
	/detectors/sts/	Øvkondrat							
33									
34	# TOF								
	/detectors/tof/	@lobastov							
	# ZDC (FHCAL)								
38	/detectors/zdc/	@marina							
9									
0									
1	# Reconstruction								
	/reconstruction/tracking/kalman/	Øzinchenk							
4	i octang, toamon,	<u>u</u>							
15	/core/mpdPid/	@zinchenk @amudrokh							
16									
47	# Applycic								
	# PUIDLY>15 #								
50	/core/mpdDst/MpdMiniEvent/	@gnigmat							
51									
52									
	# Database								
74 55	* /tools/database/	Øbusa							
56	,,	·							
57									
58	# Documentation								
;9	#	A1							
	/tools/documentation/	gbusa							

"The art of programming (software development) is the art of organizing complexity, of mastering multitude and avoiding its bastard chaos as effectively as possible." E. Dijkstra

Code ownership within Gitlab

- forces assignment of responsibilities
- automatically checks for ownership of changed files
- emails owners asking them for a review



Effect

- code review by competent developers
- no arbitrary merges, trash code influx halted
- split between R&D and software code

SOFTWARE PROJECT DYNAMICS

COnstructive COst MOdel (COCOMO II) by Barry W. Boehm

- Most rigorous statistical analysis of software projects using data from historic projects
- Results expressed in "effort adjustment factors", these describe software project dynamics, used to gain insight to adjust the development strategy
- Requirements Analyst Capability factor 2 means project with very low level analysis of requirements would cost 2 times more effort than project with very high level of requirements analysis



SCALING & COMPLEXITY REDUCTION

Project Outcome by Project Size



Applied Software Measurement, C. Jones (2008)

85 text files. 85 unique files. 3 files ignored.				
github.com/AlDanial/cloc	v 1.90 T=0.02	s (3891.0 file	s/s, 201583.9 li	nes/s)
Language	files	blank	comment	code
Bourne Shell	73	346	500	3164
YAML		16		119
Bourne Again Shell		12		54
				-32
Markdown				
Markdown Dockerfile			0	29

Build

639 unique files. 24 files ignored.					698 text files 694 unique fil 8 files igno
ub.com/AlDanial/cloo	c v 1.90 T=0.41	s (1537.2 file	s/s, 333619.2 li	ines/s)	o raco agin
uage	files	blank	comment	code	github.com/AlDanial
	357	17766	13007	73956	Language
+ Header		2685	6722	9687	
				7278	C++
ran 77				1972	C/C++ Header
		254		916	CMake
				493	Markdown
down				435	HTML
ne Shell				362	Bourne Shell
		35		87	xsp
Script				29	YAM
				22	A REAL
ne Again Shell				6	AHL.
				6	SIM
	629	21248	20619	94649	

Physics

698 text files. 694 unique files. 8 files ignored. github.com/AlDanial/cloc v 1.90 T=0.42 s (1034.2 files/s, 295785.3 lines/s) Language files blank comment code Code Grade Signal Sign

Backend

Some of the major reasons for dysfunctional scaling:

- Building on a weak foundation (overall SD setup, SoC code restructuring, decoupling)
- Lack of proper technical practices (testing, TDD, reviews, documentation, OOA/OOD)
- Weak product & user level focus (release schedule, user feedback)
- Unused code hanging all over the place (code influx control, cleanup)
- Lack of direction (big picture view, milestones, prioritization)

CODE RESTRUCTURING & CLEANUP

Top Level

40 directories (1y ago) --> 14 directories (now)

- Unused detectors removal
- Old dysfunctional test system replaced
- Junk files removal (old scripts, configs, styling)
- Unused libraries removal
- Deployment system replaced & decoupled

SCALING: indicates action of cumulative forces pushing projects towards either success or failure

BUILD & SOFTWARE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

BEFORE: OVERWHELMING COMPLEXITY (for every user)



- . Base dependencies (Fair suite, MPDRoot) installation
- FairSoft clone, build, install, configure
- . FairRoot clone, build, install, configure
- MPDRoot, clone, build, install, configure

Main Disadvantages

- Base dependencies (>100) different versions, potential source of compatibility issues
- Source build taking many hours for each installation
- Complex procedure with many step-by-step commands, increasing probability of mistake. If error was made usually procedure had to be repeated from scratch

NOW: NICADIST + CVMFS + TOOLBOX

C' • • T(VMFS Server: stores built modules from nicadist Client: auto-installed on local machine, module loading & caching OOLBOX provides containerized clone of cluster environment on local PC
•	N Project ID: 982 Project ID: 982 Star 1 Fork 0 115 Commits 2 Branches 2 25 Tags 512 KB Files 29.4 MB Storage 6 Releases partial fork of alidist based on aliBuild created to have modular MPDRoot builds packages from sources & keeps track of their dependencies If any of the build parameters, or package version changes, all dependencies are rebuilt
	NICA > nicadist

for MPD Experiment at NICA Collider, 2022

MPDROOT SETUP: USER PERSPECTIVE

INSTALLATION

https://mpdroot.jinr.ru/running-mpdroot-on-local-machine-using-cvmfs/



@toolbox [c7-nica-dev] ~]\$ module add mpddev

[user@toolbox [c7-nica-dev] ~]\$ git clone -b dev --recursive git@git.jinr.ru:nica/mpdroot.git

ENVIRONMENT & DEPENDENCIES

- the environment & dependencies for the same mpdroot or mpddev versions is **identical**
- no compatibility issues by definition

RELEASES

- release schedule: every 3 months
- "module add mpdroot" loads latest mpdroot release
- old releases can be loaded using specifier
- every release is coupled to its own dependency tree

<pre>[slavomir@toolbox [c7-nica-dev] ~]</pre>	\$ module add mpdroot/	And a second
mpdroot/latest mpdroot/	v22.06.22-1 mpdroot/v23.03	.23-1
<pre>mpdroot/latest-release mpdroot/</pre>	v22.09.22-1 mpdroot/v23.03	.23_vhlle-1
mpdroot/v22.04.22-1 mpdroot/	v22.12.22-1 mpdroot/v23.06	.23-1
<pre>[slavomir@toolbox [c7-nica-dev] ~]</pre>	\$ module add mpdroot/v22.04.22-1	
<pre>[slavomir@toolbox [c7-nica-dev] ~]</pre>	\$ module list	
Currently Loaded Modulefiles:		
1) BASE/1.0	15) lzma/v5.2.3-2	29) generators/v1.0-4
pythia6/428-alice2-3	16) boost/v1.75.0-4	30) postgresql/REL_14_2-1
3) GCC-Toolchain/v10.2.0-alice2-2	17) HepMC/HEPMC 02 06 10-3	31) fmt/8.1.1-1
4) AliEn-Runtime/v2-19-le-2	18) pythia/v8243-alice1a-4	32) protobuf/v3.15.8-3
 5) FreeType/v2.10.1-4 	19) GSL/v1.16-2	33) eigen3/3.4.0-2
GEANT4/v11.0.1-alicel-1	20) libxml2/v2.9.3-2	34) asio/v1.19.1-3
Thapdf/v6.2.1-alice2-4	21) XRootD/v5.4.2-alice1-1	35) asiofi/v0.5.1-3
8) zlib/v1.2.8-2	22) ROOT/v6-24-06-1	36) FairLogger/v1.11.0-1
9) libpng/v1.6.34-3	23) VMC/v2-0-1	37) ZeroMQ/v4.3.3-3
10) sqlite/v3.15.0-3	24) vgm/v5-0-1	38) FairMQ/v1.4.50-1
11) libffi/v3.2.1-3	25) GEANT4_VMC/v6-1-1	39) FairRoot/v18.6.8-1
12) Python/v3.6.10-4	26) GEANT3/v4-1-1	40) mpdroot/v22.04.22-1
13) OpenSSL/v1.1.1m-1	27) simulation/v1.0-2	
14) Python-modules/1.0-4	28) ofi/v1.14.0-1	

DESIGN BY CONTRACT

Software Development Stages

Requirements

Architecture / Construction

Integration

Testing

INTEGRATION

Design

- Rarely mentioned and almost never planned for
- Reality: multiple independent streams of development
- Assumption: once everyone finishes it will all somehow fit in and work
- Common result: turns out to be a major issue and a significant risk factor of project failure/delay
- Last resort fixes: redesign at late project stages, writing of unnecessary modules

SOLUTION

From the very beginning do:

- Have interfaces •
- Agree on interfaces
- Manage interfaces
- Interface control document .

All realizations must implement interfaces that are agreed upon

Ensures software modularity, compactness and TESTABILITY

TPC API

API – set of signatures that are exported and available to the users of a library or framework to write their applications.

Key API design notes

- Lead to readable code
- Easy to learn and memorize
- Be complete & stable for proper development and maintenance (be model based)
- Outlast its implementations (invariants)
- Be hard to misuse
- Be easy to extend
- Lead to backward compatibility

Source: SWEBOK (Software Engineering Body of Knowledge), 2015



README.md		Open in Web ID	E Y	Replace	Delete	€ 2 ₹
MPD TPC detector API (Design by C	ontract)					
API contains abstract module int	erfaces, abstract p	rimitives, base clas	s invariant	s for TPC o	etector enc	apsulated
in library libtpc.so						
all MPD TPC modules must imple	ement this API. Impl	ementations of spe	ecific Modu	ileName ar	e encapsula	ated in
tiblary tiblpcwodutename.so.	a taating by Assault	tenes TDD severies				(they do
module performance is subject to not access implementation detail	o testing by Accept	ance TDD paradigr	m. Tests ac	cess only int	API entities	(they do
not access implementation detai	ta) and are by denn	nion module requir	emento tro	130000111	5 compater	tangaage.
STATUS						
Abstract module interfaces						
AbstractTpcClusterHitFinder						
Abstract primitives						
AbstractTpcDigit						
AbstractTpc2dCluster						
AbstractTpcHit						
Base class invariants						
BaseTpcSectorGeo						
IMPLEMENTATIONS						
alignment - alignment of misaligned	data module					
clusterHitFinder - cluster finding and	extracting hits from	m clusters module				
digitizer - digitization of Monte Carlo	data for detector s	imulation purposes	s module			
geometry - various geometry implem	nentations module					
geometry various geometry impten						

List of the most important things done on MPDRoot

- Complexity reduction - downscaling/separation: build system, reconstruction/simulation engine, physics - codebase cleanup
- Code quality
 - code reviews, code influx control, formatting
 - interfaces, API
 - requirements modeling, acceptance TDD (in progress)
- Build redesign/unified environment
- Stable release schedule
- Support & Maintenance - service desk, website, telegram support chat

OFFLINE SOFTWARE

The need to have modern data analysis tool

- development **potential** (the variety of possibilities to innovate) directly depends on the properties of development environment
- integrating/modifying the best of latest technologies for the needs of MPD experiment
- clarity, user friendliness, ability to learn on-the-fly



QA ENGINE





RUNRECO.C (v23.09.23 release)

Options:

tpcClustering = ETpcClustering::MLEM

= ETpcClustering::FAST

= ETpcClustering::WAVELET (soon)

qaSetting = EQAMode::OFF

- = EQAMode::BASIC
- = EQAMode::TPCCLUSTERHITFINDER
- = EQAMode::TRACKER (soon)

Upcoming: tracker = ETracking::DEFAULT = ETracking::ACTS

Output example: BaseQA_Fast.root, QA_TpcClusterHitFinder_Fast.root Settings: EQAMode::TPCCLUSTERHITFINDER, ETpcClustering::FAST

QA ENGINE PROPERTIES

- pluggable/switchable reconstruction modules
- QA modes to choose Diagnostics depth
- writing output in terms of MPD primitives into multiple structured root files for modular diagnostics and postprocessing

REQUIREMENTS: ACCEPTANCE TDD

QA / ACCEPTANCE TDD PARADIGM

- QA overall functional: tools for the analysis, diagnostics & improvement of the process of reconstruction
- critical for overall project success
- QA plots = requirements written in precise test case language

COMPARISON BENCHMARK

- Complex systems: many unknown factors/variables/nonlinearities
- truth best uncovered by comparison of quality properties of the objects of the *same type* (standard types defined in interfaces)

QA / ATDD ENVIRONMENT

Jupyter-Lab with JSRoot

- Custom code injection
- Cell structure with reprocess option
- Graphical output customized on demand
- Algo tuning to real experiment data

Interactive workflow example



CLUSTERHITFINDER COMPARISON

- Mlem
- Fast

ABSTRACTION LEVELS

- Topbench.....Reconstruction
- Middle.....component....ClusterHitFinder
- Bottomunits.....Clustering, Topology, Hit extraction



DIAGNOSTICS & RAPID DEVELOPMENT

EXAMPLE: DISCONNECTED TRACKS RETRIEVAL

MC trackID \rightarrow TPC tracks

map <int, vector<int>> MCTracksFromTpcTracks(int event);



- to be then used to write, test and evaluate algorithm connecting disconnected tracks
- because of the considerable technical simplification, this work can be outsourced to juniors

RAPID DEVELOPMENT

- Prototyping method 15 minutes
- Integrating properly into main codebase half a day !

2 L	auncl	her				×	Untitled.ipynb					×	+							
8	+	×	Ō	۵	•		с	**	Code		~									
			<pre>QA_TpcClusterHitFinder mlem; mlem.ReadFromFile(TString("Mlem"),TString("jupyter")); QA_TpcClusterHitFinder fast; fast.ReadFromFile(TString("Fast"),TString("jupyter"));</pre>																	
			[INF [INF [INF [INF	0] 0] 0] 0]	Read Read Read Read	ing ing ing ing	QA 1 QA 1 QA 1 QA 1	ile: ile: ile: ile:	jupy jupy jupy jupy	ter/ ter/ ter/ ter/	Base QA_Tp Base QA_Tp	A_Ml cClu A_Fa cClu	em.r ster st.r ster	Hit Hit	Fin Fin	der_M der_F	lem. ast.	root		
	[2		std:	:map	<int< td=""><td>, st</td><td>d : : \</td><td>recto</td><td>r<int:< td=""><td>>> m</td><td>cMlen</td><td>- m</td><td>lem.</td><td>МСТ</td><td>Frac</td><td>ksFro</td><td>mTpc</td><td>Fracks</td><td>(1);</td><td></td></int:<></td></int<>	, st	d : : \	recto	r <int:< td=""><td>>> m</td><td>cMlen</td><td>- m</td><td>lem.</td><td>МСТ</td><td>Frac</td><td>ksFro</td><td>mTpc</td><td>Fracks</td><td>(1);</td><td></td></int:<>	>> m	cMlen	- m	lem.	МСТ	Frac	ksFro	mTpc	Fracks	(1);	
<pre>[3]: std::map<int, std::vector<int="">> mcFast = fast.MCT</int,></pre>									Frac	ksFro	mTpc	Fracks	(1);							
	[4		for {	(auto if (val. cout	size << (int cout	()>1 "~DU i=0	ey, v 1) { IPLIC); i< " T	al] : ATE~ val.s. PC Tr	MC ize(ack	Track); ++ ID: '	ID: -i) -< -	" < val[< [1]	(ey -	<< er	dl;			
			~DUP TP TP ~DUP TP TP TP TP TP TP TP TP TP TP TP TP TP	LICA C Tri C Tri C Tri C Tri C Tri C Tri C Tri C Tri LICA C Tri C Tri LICA C Tri C T	TE~ ack ack TE~ ack ack TE~ back TE~ back TE~ back TE~ back TE~ back TE~ back TE~ back	MC ID: ID: ID: ID: ID: MC ID: ID: ID: ID: ID: ID: ID: ID: ID: ID:	Trac 8 80 17 82 12 87 71 86 77 86 77 86 77 79 77 88 89 77 72	k ID k ID k ID k ID k ID	: 32 : 45 : 73 : 74 : 83 : 88 : 97											
	[5		for { }	(aut) if (val. cout for	nstă size << (int cout	()>] "~DL i=0	ey, v 1) { IPLIC); i< " T	al] : ATE~ val.s PC Tr	MC MC ize(ack	llem) Track); +4 ID: '	: ID: ·i) ' << '	val[< [i]	(ey -	<< er	ıdl;			
			~DUP TP TP ~DUP TP TP	LICA C Tra C Tra LICA C Tra C Tra C Tra	TE~ ack ack TE~ ack ack	MC ID: ID: MC ID: ID:	Trac 52 81 Trac 76 85	k ID	: 35 : 74											



Thank You !



MPD Software Development & Computing Team

Rogachevsky O.	Coordinator
Krylov V., Krylov A.	Online MPD Event Display
Moshkin A., Pelevanyuk I.	Mass Production
Bychkov A.	Detector Simulation
Kuzmin V.	Detector Alignment
Podgainy D., Zuev M.	Supercomputing
Alexandrov E., Alexandrov I.	Databases
Balashov N.	Gitlab Support
Belyakov D.	Network Infrastructure
Belecky P., Kamkin A.	Acts Tracker
Busa J.	Build System
Hnatic S.	Architecture