



Design by Contract & Acceptance Test Driven Development in MPDRoot

HNATIC Slavomir



OUTLINE

- Quick recap (Nov 2022 status)
 - Design by Contract
 - TPC API
 - QA tool
 - Acceptance TDD
 - JSRoot Examples
 - Perspectives, Next Objectives
 - Final Remarks
- 

QUICK RECAP

SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT FOR MPD

List of the most important things done

- Complexity reduction
 - downscaling/separation:
build system, reconstruction/simulation engine, physics
 - codebase cleanup
- Code quality
 - code reviews
 - code influx under control
 - testing (in process)
 - formatting
 - requirements modeling
- Build redesign/unified environment
- Stable release schedule
- Support & Maintenance
 - service desk
 - website
 - telegram support chat

SWEBOK v3 (2015)


International ISO Standard

specifying the guide to

Software Engineering Body of Knowledge

TDD: ALGORITHM DEVELOPMENT

Status & Objectives as of November 2022



DESIGNING TESTS ON MULTIPLE ABSTRACTION LEVELS

Test level hierarchy “system / component / unit” adapted for MPDRoot’s backend:

- Top level.....system (bench) tests.....QA
- Middle level.....component tests.....reconstruction FairTasks (invariant interfaces)
- Bottom level.....unit tests.....interface units (invariant pure virtual methods)

Cluster Hit Finder

Preparatory work

- create **invariant** Base class for geometry
- interface for clusterhitfinder
- port mlem & fast implementations to it
- getting rid of singletons
- test-friendly design – dependency injection

TDD

- multilevel analysis
- multi-module analysis
- performance & accuracy criteria
- data-driven tests
- hybrid algorithms

DESIGN BY CONTRACT

Software Development Stages

Requirements

Architecture /
Design

Construction

Testing

Integration

INTEGRATION

- Rarely mentioned and almost never planned for
- Reality: multiple independent streams of development
- Assumption: once everyone finishes it will all somehow fit in and work
- Common result: turns out to be a major issue and a significant risk factor of project failure/delay
- Last resort fixes: redesign at late project stages, writing of unnecessary modules

SOLUTION

From the very beginning do:

- Have interfaces
- Agree on interfaces
- Manage interfaces
- Interface control document

All realizations must implement interfaces that are agreed upon

Ensures software fitness, compactness
and TESTABILITY

TPC API

API – set of signatures that are exported and available to the users of a library or framework to write their applications.

Key API design notes

- Lead to readable code
- Easy to learn and memorize
- Be complete & stable for proper development and maintenance (be model based)
- Outlast its implementations (invariants)
- Be hard to misuse
- Be easy to extend
- Lead to backward compatibility

Source: *SWEBOK (Software Engineering Body of Knowledge), 2015*



The screenshot shows a GitHub repository page for the MPD TPC detector API. The breadcrumb path is 'dev > mpdroot / detectors / tpc / README.md'. The file size is 1.67 KiB. The README content is as follows:

MPD TPC detector API (Design by Contract)

- API contains abstract module interfaces, abstract primitives, base class invariants for TPC detector encapsulated in library libtpc.so
- all MPD TPC modules must implement this API. Implementations of specific ModuleName are encapsulated in library libtpcModuleName.so.
- module performance is subject to testing by Acceptance TDD paradigm. Tests access only API entities (they do not access implementation details) and are by definition module requirements translated into computer language.

STATUS

Abstract module interfaces

- AbstractTpcClusterHitFinder

Abstract primitives

- AbstractTpcDigit
- AbstractTpc2dCluster
- AbstractTpcHit

Base class invariants


- BaseTpcSectorGeo

IMPLEMENTATIONS

- alignment - alignment of misaligned data module
- clusterHitFinder - cluster finding and extracting hits from clusters module
- digitizer - digitization of Monte Carlo data for detector simulation purposes module
- geometry - various geometry implementations module
- pid - working out the particle ID module

QA TOOL

Architecture



- QA Engine is a separated entity on its own
- interacts through API with reconstruction/simulation backend and generates output for visual front-end
- work of testers and algorithm developers is separated

The screenshot shows a GitHub repository page for the 'QA Engine' project. The repository name is 'QA Engine: directory placeholders, build, initial Abstract Base Class' with commit hash 'b323dF58'. The author is 'Slavomir Hnatic' and it was authored 1 month ago. The repository path is 'mpdroot / tools / tdd / QA /'. There are buttons for 'History', 'Find file', 'Web IDE', and 'Copy SSH clone URL'. Below the repository information is a table listing files and their commit history.

Name	Last commit	Last update
--		
AbstractQA.cpp	QA Engine: directory placeholders, build, initial ...	3 weeks ago
AbstractQA.h	QA Engine: directory placeholders, build, initial ...	3 weeks ago
CMakeLists.txt	QA Engine: directory placeholders, build, initial ...	3 weeks ago
QA_TpcClusterHitFind...	QA Engine: directory placeholders, build, initial ...	3 weeks ago
QA_TpcClusterHitFind...	QA Engine: directory placeholders, build, initial ...	3 weeks ago
qaLinkDef.h	QA Engine: directory placeholders, build, initial ...	3 weeks ago

Implementation


- Modular design, lives in backend interfaces, operates with abstractions
- QA engine turned off by default, option to turn on QA for separate modules
- output QA information stored into .root files for use in later processing

ACCEPTANCE TDD

Fundamental Rule

The more systematic we are in testing, the more efficient/effective we are in building/supporting/maintaining our software.


Software Defects



- the later the defect is fixed, the more it costs to correct
- detect defects early
- fix defects asap, avoid technical debt

ACCEPTANCE TESTS = REQUIREMENTS

- development driven by multi-level acceptance tests
- requirements written in precise test case language
- acceptance criteria/their fulfillment is data-driven



! data are customized for acceptance criteria !



EXAMPLE IN JSROOT

CLUSTERHITFINDER COMPARISON

- Mlem
- Fast

ABSTRACTION LEVELS

- Topbench.....Reconstruction
- Middle.....component....ClusterHitFinder
- Bottomunits.....Clustering, Topology, Hit extraction




PERSPECTIVES, FUTURE PLAN

ENVIRONMENT for ALGORITHM IMPROVEMENT

Automation - QA Gallery / Interactive Development
using the existing JINR infrastructure


- JupyterHUB
- EOS filesystem
- Sets of QA plots automatically displayed
- Custom code injection
- Cell structure with reprocess functionality
- Improvements integrated into main C++ codebase



PERSPECTIVES, FUTURE PLAN


ENVIRONMENT for ALGORITHM IMPROVEMENT

**Interactive
Development
Workflow
Example**



MAJOR BENEFIT

On arrival of the data from real experiment, the optimized algorithm improvement workflow with required infrastructure/environment is in place



FINAL REMARKS

SPECIFIC TARGETS

- Fast clusterhitfinder algorithm accuracy improvement
- Environment + workflows for fine tuning the clustering & hit extraction ready by the time real data arrive


TEST DESIGN GUIDELINES

- maximum coverage with minimum tests
- risk based prioritization
- boundary cases coverage

Test environment is effective when absolute majority of defects is caught by developers, not by users.

Thank You !

Q & A



SERVICE DESK for Questions

<http://mpdroot.jinr.ru/q-a/>

If your question is not answered below, you can email it to our service desk

`contact+nica-mpdroot-support-1045-issue-@git.jinr.ru`

Please:

- describe how to reproduce your problem
- provide information about your system configuration
- provide screenshots if available and any additional information you consider relevant



The screenshot shows the GitHub repository page for 'mpdroot-support' under the 'NICA' organization. The repository name is 'mpdroot-support' with a lock icon, indicating it is private. Below the name, the 'Project ID: 1045' is displayed with a folder icon. At the bottom of the repository header, there are three buttons: a notification bell with a dropdown arrow, a 'Star' button with a star icon and the number '0', and a '0' button.

“User Involvement – **critical** project success factor”
CHAOS Report 2015, Standish Group