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## Introduction

SPD (Spin Physics Detector) is a future experiment of the NICA project.
The main goal of the experiment is to check the predictions of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and study the spin structure of nucleons through the collision of polarized protons.

The frequency of events at the design luminosity of the collider will reach $3 \mathbf{M H z}$ It is planned to store for further processing only 2-5\% of the original data stream.


## Problem Statement

In the context of the SPD experiment within the NICA project, a significant challenge arises in processing vast amounts of data to extract valuable events.

For the SPD experiment, in which events are expected to arrive with a frequency of 3 MHz , the data acquisition is supposed to be performed in time slices, during one time slice up to 40 events with overlapping tracks may appear.

The process of extracting valuable events:
Online tracking (TrackNET) $\rightarrow$ Unraveling Time-Slices of Events $\longrightarrow$ Filtering events of interest
*In the present task, it is assumed that the tracks are already recognized.

## Simulation of events

- Python script for spiral approximation of particle trajectory.
- The number of tracks in each event is from 1 to 10.
- The transverse momentum of the particle is a random number with a uniform distribution in the range of values from 100 to $1000 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{s}$.
- The coordinates of the vertices are also random and are chosen from the known region of possible particle collisions.
- The trajectory of the particle is represented by a set of points on a spiral segment.
- A detector configuration with $\mathbf{3 5}$ stations is considered.
- Detector inefficiency is modeled as the probability that a hit will be removed from the dataset. Detector efficiency values of $99 \%$ and $98 \%$ are used.

|  | $\mathbf{e v t}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{z}$ | station | trk | $\mathbf{p x}$ | $\mathbf{p y}$ | $\mathbf{p z}$ | $\mathbf{v t x x}$ | $\mathbf{v t x y}$ | $\mathbf{v t x z}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | -268.018768 | 33.173191 | 565.522303 | 1 | 0 | -507.704732 | 94.421070 | 851.451364 | -1.253358 | -15.544558 | 120.099724 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | -276.910426 | 34.872703 | 580.684414 | 2 | 0 | -507.312956 | 96.503876 | 851.451364 | -1.253358 | -15.544558 | 120.099724 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0 | -286.027191 | 36.580065 | 595.575991 | 3 | 0 | -506.912583 | 98.585324 | 851.451364 | -1.253358 | -15.544558 | 120.099724 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0 | -294.664452 | 38.385470 | 610.836264 | 4 | 0 | -506.503616 | 100.665389 | 851.451364 | -1.253358 | -15.544558 | 120.099724 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0 | -303.774233 | 40.166026 | 625.997983 | 5 | 0 | -506.086055 | 102.744042 | 851.451364 | -1.253358 | -15.544558 | 120.099724 |



Example of a model time-slice in the SPD experiment (for 10 events in a slide)

## Approaches

## to solve the Unraveling Time-Slices of Events problem

1) Predict vertices

- regression
- line/spline interpolation


Clustering

- k-means

2) Embedding mining

- Siamese network


## Clustering

- k-means
** The models are trained on prepared tracks from the simulation, in reality the input will be candidate tracks from the tracking algorithm


## 1.Predict vertices

## Models:

- Linear/spline interpolation $X$
- Random Forest Regressor $X$
- Gradient Boosting Decision Tree (GBDT)

Yandex
CatBoost

Data preparation:
evt, $x, y, z$, station, trk, $p x, p y, p z$, vt $x x$, vt $x y, v t x z$

$x 1, x 2, x n \ldots, x 35, y 1, y 2, y n \ldots, y 35, z 1, z 2, z n \ldots, z 35, e v t, t r k, v t x x, v t x y, v t x z$
efficiency=1
'loss_function': 'MAE'
'learning_rate': 0.0631
'iterations': 1743
'max_depth': 9
'I2_leaf_reg': 1.029
'bagging_temperature': 4.404

| MSE | 205.03 |
| :---: | :---: |
| MAE | 5.258 |
| MAPE | 0.208 |



## 1.Model Interpretation

## SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations)

is a game theoretic approach to explain the output of any machine learning model.

The Shepley values for each trait are calculated by looking at all possible combinations of features and comparing model predictions with and without those features.
x axis - effect on targeting y axis - sorted by importance features color - value of the target (blue is the smallest, red is the largest) thickness - concentration of observations
the most important feature is the z -coordinate.


## 1.Clustering

Clustering of vertices predicted by regression
Number of clusters $=$ Number of events in the time-slice

## Method:

- K-means
- cosine
- euclidean

Clustering is applied to each slice.



* In reality, we do not know exactly how many events are in the timeslice.


## 1.Clustering metrics (Internal)

The silhouette value shows how similar an object is to its cluster compared to other clusters.

The Davies-Bouldin Index calculates compactness as the distance from cluster objects to their centroids, and separability as the distance between the centroids.

|  | silhouette |  |  | davies_bouldin |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| slice | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| samples | 2019 | 1010 | 253 | 2019 | 1010 | $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ |
| mean | 0,82 | 0,79 | 0,65 | 0,25 | 0,26 | 0,43 |
| std | 0,09 | 0,05 | 0,04 | 0,09 | 0,08 | 0,05 |
| $25 \%$ | 0,78 | 0,75 | 0,63 | 0,10 | 0,21 | 0,39 |
| $50 \%$ | 0,84 | 0,79 | 0,65 | 0,15 | 0,25 | 0,43 |
| $75 \%$ | 0,89 | 0,83 | 0,68 | 0,22 | 0,31 | 0,46 |

## 1.Clustering metrics (External)

* class labels are known

| $\mathbf{1 .}$ | slice | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | samples | 2019 | 1010 | 253 |
| percentage <br> of correct | tracks | 0,67 | 0,71 | 0,23 |
|  | slices | 0,32 | 0,72 | 0,24 |
|  | evts | 0,46 | 0,02 |  |

1. Metrics are calculated based on the unambiguous matching of the predicted cluster to the event.
2. An event is considered unraveled if it has 1 cluster and is not included in other events.
3. A correctly unraveled slice is a slice with all events correctly unraveled;
4. 

| slice | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| samples | 2019 | 1010 | 253 |
| Precision | 0,864 | 0,355 | 0,291 |
| Recall | 0,886 | 0,491 | 0,124 |
| F1-score | 0,857 | 0,401 | 0,046 |
| Accuracy | 0,921 | 0,629 | 0,211 |

1. For each cluster build a set of track_id, which are included in it.
2. Count the pairwise intersections of cluster sets and event sets.
3. Sort from largest to smallest intersection.
4. Find cluster-event pairs with the largest intersection.
5. If more than one cluster was found for some event, take only the one with the largest intersection.
6. Assign a label to each cluster, based on the found pair of event;

## Problems...

The main problem with the vertex prediction approach and further clustering is that they are close and overlapping.


Vertex visualization for 40 events in the time slice.

## 2.Embedding mining

The idea is that tracks from one event are positive examples and tracks from different events are negative examples.

The Siamese neural network must learn how to extract such vectors of embeddings for tracks. So that the vectors of tracks coming from the same vertex are close in the feature space. And vectors of tracks from different vertices are far away from each other in the feature space.


The Siamese network works as a generator of feature vectors.


## 2.Architecture

The architecture of the triplet siamese network (TSN) was inspired by FFN layer in transformer models and by MLP block for MLP Mixer [1] model.

For the loss function SNR distance was chosen.
n_stations (35) * 3 coords $=105$


## 2.Training

For training, we used the PLM framework.

PLM has convenient methods for:

- Miner
- Trainer
- Loss
- Tester
- and other...

Params (best model):

```
n_blocks = 5
output_dim = 32
```

triplet_margin $=0.1$
type_of_triplets = all
distance $=$ euclidean/snr dist [1]
normalizer $=$ MinMaxScaler() $[-1 ; 1]$

epoch = 80
time $\approx 9 \mathrm{hr} \quad$ loss $=\max (0, \operatorname{dist}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{P})-\operatorname{dist}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{N})+\operatorname{margin})$
loss = max(0,dist(A,P)-dist(A,N)+margin)

## 2.Embeddings visualization

For logging, we use Tensorboard.

The result of applying the trained model to tracks from time-slice.

We apply UMAP algorithm to reduce the dimensionality of the original 32D vectors to 2D

Tracks from different events in a timeslice are highlighted with different colors


## 2.Clustering

Clustering of embeddings received after the network.

## Method:

- K-means
euclidean distance
Fixed number of events.
- num_cluster=num_evts
- n_init=10

Approach 2 outperforms approach 1 in all metrics.
(1) Vertices prediction method

(2) Embedding clustering


Internal * mean score

External * average macro
(2) Embedding clustering

| Precision | 0,811 |
| :---: | ---: |
| Recall | 0,843 |
| F1-score | 0,818 |
|  | Accuracy |

## 2.Inference time

## BATCH_SIZE = 1 time-slice (the number of tracks varies)

Unraveling time per time slice (40 events)

| device | embedder (s) | clustering (s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CPU (Apple m1pro 10 cores) | $0.0177( \pm 0.0059)$ | $0.1884( \pm 0.0919)$ |
| GPU (Apple m1 pro 10 cores) | $0.0279( \pm 0.0099)$ | $0.2010( \pm 0.1031)$ |
| GPU Tesla V100 (CPU[1]) | $0.0076( \pm 0.0015)$ | $0.1126( \pm 0.0053)$ |

K-means from scikit-learn package (running on CPU)

Ideas for acceleration:

- Transfer clustering on GPU device
- Find library with faster clustering
- Architecture optimization (params, layers)
- ONNX/TensoRT


## Conclusion and outlook

- An approach for predicting the vertex of an event has been developed.
- Developed an approach to evaluate the quality of clustering.
- Pipeline for unraveling events within a slice has been developed. But this approach turned out to be inapplicable for a large number of events in a slice.
- A disentanglement approach based on clustering of embeddings after Siamese network is developed.
- Processing an unknown number of events (clusters).
- Automatic hyperparameter selection based on Bayesian approaches.
- Processing of skips inside the track.
- Accelerating the clustering step.
- Testing other SOTA architectures.
- Testing the approach on a more physical generator.


## Training with missing stations




Missing stations are filled with 0.

GELU
Nonlinearities


